



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office	
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
name need o gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/978,490	11/25/1997	ITARU KAWAKAMI	SONY-5300	4451
22850	7590 09/30/2003			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.		EXAMI	NER	
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		DINH, D	UNG C
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2153	26
		DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ALG				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antique Company	08/978,490	KAWAKAMI, ITARU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dung Dinh	2153				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23.	July 2003 .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,7,8,10-21 and 23-25</u> is/are po						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,7,8,10-21 and 23-25</u> is/are re	jected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		minor				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
<del></del>						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
_						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
<u> </u>						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	. ,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2153

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 07/23/03 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new ground of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 4-5, 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over RFC 1738 "Uniform Resource Locators (URL)" 1994 and further in view of Mattaway et al. US patent 6,275,490 and Godbole US patent 5,065,427 and Valencia US patent 5,918,019.

As per claims 1-2, RFC 1738 provides an Internet standards for the syntax and semantics of a language for location and access of resource.

The RFC 1738 provide for a language usable for describing link location in the form of <scheme>:<scheme-specific-part>,

Art Unit: 2153

wherein the <scheme> is the communication method, specific-part> includes the destination address and other information dependent upon the <scheme> [see pages 2, 5-6].

The RFC 1738 does not specifically disclose using a telephone number as a destination address.

Mattaway teaches a system having HTML tags encoded destination link having a telephone number as a designation address [col.4 lines 5-11]. Mattaway does not disclose the specific of the information contained in this telephone destination link.

Hence, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the RFC 1738 standard to the encoding of the telephone address destination to have the communication method (<scheme>) and the telephone number (<scheme-specific-part>) because it would have enable standard parsing and usage of the link information.

The references do not specifically disclose a computer having a first communicating mode connecting to a server apparatus through the Internet and a second communicating mode connecting to a telephone apparatus via a secured public telephone network, using the same telephone line. However this feature is well known in the art and is an inherent feature in a computer with a fax/modem device. Godbole teaches a fax/data

Art Unit: 2153

modem device that enables a computer system to make FAX or data call via a single telephone line [col.4 lines 25-26]. Official notice is taken that it is well known in the art that a data call includes dialup to an ISP for access to servers through the Internet [see generally the background of US patent 5,918,019]. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use a computer with the fax/modem device of Godbole because it would have enable the system to automatically identify the type of incoming call [col.4 lines 25-29]. Hence, the computer in performing the method above would have had a first mode connecting to a server through the Internet [dialup to an ISP] and a second mode connecting to a telephone apparatus [FAX] by using the same telephone line.

As per claims 4-5, 20-21, are similarly rejected as for claims 1-2 above.

Claims 7-8, 12, 17-19, and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattaway et al. US patent 6,275,490 and further in view of RFC 1738 "Uniform Resource Locators (URL)" 1994 and Godbole US patent 5,065,427 and Valencia US patent 5,918,019.

As per claim 7, Mattaway teaches an apparatus comprising:

Application/Control Number: 08/978,490 Page 5
Art Unit: 2153

a) a receiver(client's browser) configured to receive information, the information including a telephone number assigned to a line connect to a predetermined apparatus [col.3 lines 50-63, col.4 lines 7-11];

- b) a display [fig.2a] configured to display the information
- c) a command device [col.3 line 53 pointing device] configured to specify a predetermined position;
- d) a communication controller configured to establish a communication link with the predetermined apparatus based on the telephone number, if the predetermined position specified by the command device is associated with the telephone number [apparent from col.3 lines 50-63 when the user selected the destination icon].

Mattaway does not disclose the specific of the information contained in this telephone destination link.

The RFC 1738 provide for a language usable for describing link location in the form of <scheme>:<scheme-specific-part>, wherein the <scheme> is the communication method, <scheme-specific-part> includes the destination address and other information dependent upon the <scheme> [see pages 2, 5-6].

Hence, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the RFC 1738 standard to the encoding of the telephone address destination to have the communication method

Art Unit: 2153

(<scheme>) and the telephone number (<scheme-specific-part>)
because it would have enable standard parsing and usage of the
link information.

The references do not specifically disclose a computer having a first communicating mode connecting to a server apparatus through the Internet and a second communicating mode connecting to a telephone apparatus via a secured public telephone network, using the same telephone line. However this feature is well known in the art and is an inherent feature in a computer with a fax/modem device. Godbole teaches a fax/data modem device that enables a computer system to make FAX or data call via a single telephone line [col.4 lines 25-26]. Official notice is taken that it is well known in the art that a data call includes dialup to an ISP for access to servers through the Internet [see generally the background of US patent 5,918,019]. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use a computer with the fax/modem device of Godbole because it would have enable the system to automatically identify the type of incoming call [col.4 lines 25-29]. Hence, the computer in performing the method above would have had a first mode connecting to a server through the Internet [dialup to an ISP] and a second mode connecting to a telephone apparatus [FAX] by using the same telephone line.

Art Unit: 2153

As per claim 8, Mattaway teaches HTML encoding of the link destination [col.3 line 57].

As per claim 12, Mattaway does not specifically disclose confirming that the communication link shall be established. It is well known in the art to offer the user confirmation when action with consequence or cost to the user is about to be performed. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to confirm that the user meant to establish the connection because it would have enabled the system to confirm the user's intention and prevent erroneous activation of the link.

Claims 17-19, 23-25 are similarly rejected as for claims 7-8 and 12 above.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattaway et al. US patent 6,275,490 and further in view of Godbole US patent 5,065,427 and Valencia US patent 5,918,019.

As per claim 10, Mattaway teaches an apparatus comprising:

a) a receiver(client's browser) configured to receive information, the information including a telephone number assigned to a line connect to a predetermined apparatus [col.3 lines 50-63, col.4 lines 7-11];

Art Unit: 2153

b) a display [fig.2a] configured to display the information

- c) a command device [col.3 line 53 pointing device] configured to specify a predetermined position;
- d) a communication controller configured to establish a communication link with the predetermined apparatus based on the telephone number, if the predetermined position specified by the command device is associated with the telephone number [apparent from col.3 lines 50-63 when the user selected the destination icon].

the reference does not specifically disclose providing a telephone-number selector when there are plural telephone number associated with the position. It is well known in the art of Graphical User Interface to provide a pick-list when there are multiple choices associated with a position selected by a pointing device. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a telephone number selector when there are plural phone numbers associated with the position because it would have simplified the display by presenting only one icon for the plural numbers.

The references do not specifically disclose a computer having a first communicating mode connecting to a server apparatus through the Internet and a second communicating mode connecting to a telephone apparatus via a secured public

Art Unit: 2153

Page 9

telephone network, using the same telephone line. However this feature is well known in the art and is an inherent feature in a computer with a fax/modem device. Godbole teaches a fax/data modem device that enables a computer system to make FAX or data call via a single telephone line [col.4 lines 25-26]. Official notice is taken that it is well known in the art that a data call includes dialup to an ISP for access to servers through the Internet [see generally the background of US patent 5,918,019]. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use a computer with the fax/modem device of Godbole because it would have enable the system to automatically identify the type of incoming call [col.4 lines 25-29]. Hence, the computer in performing the method above would have had a first mode connecting to a server through the Internet [dialup to an ISP] and a second mode connecting to a telephone apparatus [FAX] by using the same telephone line.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattaway et al. US patent 6,275,490 and further in view of Mark US patent 5,732,133, Godbole US patent 5,065,427 and Valencia US patent 5,918,019.

As per claim 11, Mattaway teaches an apparatus comprising:

Art Unit: 2153

a) a receiver(client's browser) configured to receive information, the information including a telephone number assigned to a line connect to a predetermined apparatus [col.3 lines 50-63, col.4 lines 7-11];

- b) a display [fig.2a] configured to display the information
- c) a command device [col.3 line 53 pointing device] configured to specify a predetermined position;
- d) a communication controller configured to establish a communication link with the predetermined apparatus based on the telephone number, if the predetermined position specified by the command device is associated with the telephone number [apparent from col.3 lines 50-63 when the user selected the destination icon];

the reference does not specifically disclose providing a number adder for adding a number required for international communication to a telephone number.

Mark discloses automatic adding of international telephone code prefix and area code to the phone number to make it relatively easy for a user to place long distance calls from foreign countries. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have automatic telephone code adder because it would have ease the burden on the user and improved the usability of the system.

Art Unit: 2153

The references do not specifically disclose a computer having a first communicating mode connecting to a server apparatus through the Internet and a second communicating mode connecting to a telephone apparatus via a secured public telephone network, using the same telephone line. However this feature is well known in the art and is an inherent feature in a computer with a fax/modem device. Godbole teaches a fax/data modem device that enables a computer system to make FAX or data call via a single telephone line [col.4 lines 25-26]. Official notice is taken that it is well known in the art that a data call includes dialup to an ISP for access to servers through the Internet [see generally the background of US patent 5,918,019]. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use a computer with the fax/modem device of Godbole because it would have enable the system to automatically identify the type of incoming call [col.4 lines 25-29]. Hence, the computer in performing the method above would have had a first mode connecting to a server through the Internet [dialup to an ISP] and a second mode connecting to a telephone apparatus [FAX] by using the same telephone line.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattaway et al. US patent 6,275,490 and

Art Unit: 2153

further in view of Mincone et al. US patent 4,585,904, Godbole US patent 5,065,427 and Valencia US patent 5,918,019.

As per claim 13, Mattaway teaches an apparatus comprising:

- a) a receiver(client's browser) configured to receive information, the information including a telephone number assigned to a line connect to a predetermined apparatus [col.3 lines 50-63, col.4 lines 7-11];
  - b) a display [fig.2a] configured to display the information
- c) a command device [col.3 line 53 pointing device] configured to specify a predetermined position;
- d) a communication controller configured to establish a communication link with the predetermined apparatus based on the telephone number, if the predetermined position specified by the command device is associated with the telephone number [apparent from col.3 lines 50-63 when the user selected the destination icon];

the reference does not specifically disclose displaying an estimate cost of the call.

Mincone discloses automatic display estimated cost of a call to be made [see abstract].

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have display the estimated cost of the call because it

Art Unit: 2153

would have enable the user to know and judge whether he want to incur the charge associated with the call.

The references do not specifically disclose a computer having a first communicating mode connecting to a server apparatus through the Internet and a second communicating mode connecting to a telephone apparatus via a secured public telephone network, using the same telephone line. However this feature is well known in the art and is an inherent feature in a computer with a fax/modem device. Godbole teaches a fax/data modem device that enables a computer system to make FAX or data call via a single telephone line [col.4 lines 25-26]. Official notice is taken that it is well known in the art that a data call includes dialup to an ISP for access to servers through the Internet [see generally the background of US patent 5,918,019]. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use a computer with the fax/modem device of Godbole because it would have enable the system to automatically identify the type of incoming call [col.4 lines 25-29]. Hence, the computer in performing the method above would have had a first mode connecting to a server through the Internet [dialup to an ISP] and a second mode connecting to a telephone apparatus [FAX] by using the same telephone line.

Art Unit: 2153

Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattaway et al. US patent 6,275,490 and further in view of Smith US patent 5,835,724, Godbole US patent 5,065,427 and Valencia US patent 5,918,019.

As per claim 14, Mattaway teaches an apparatus comprising:

- a) a receiver(client's browser) configured to receive information, the information including a telephone number assigned to a line connect to a predetermined apparatus [col.3 lines 50-63, col.4 lines 7-11];
  - b) a display [fig.2a] configured to display the information
- c) a command device [col.3 line 53 pointing device] configured to specify a predetermined position;
- d) a communication controller configured to establish a communication link with the predetermined apparatus based on the telephone number, if the predetermined position specified by the command device is associated with the telephone number [apparent from col.3 lines 50-63 when the user selected the destination icon];

the reference does not specifically disclose reestablishing connection after the receiver was disconnected.

Smith discloses as system for automatic continue a session after a client was disconnected.

Art Unit: 2153

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide means for reestablishing a connection when a receiver was disconnected because it would have improved the

system by enabling the receiver to resume a disconnected session.

The references do not specifically disclose a computer having a first communicating mode connecting to a server apparatus through the Internet and a second communicating mode connecting to a telephone apparatus via a secured public telephone network, using the same telephone line. However this feature is well known in the art and is an inherent feature in a computer with a fax/modem device. Godbole teaches a fax/data modem device that enables a computer system to make FAX or data call via a single telephone line [col.4 lines 25-26]. Official notice is taken that it is well known in the art that a data call includes dialup to an ISP for access to servers through the Internet [see generally the background of US patent 5,918,019]. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use a computer with the fax/modem device of Godbole because it would have enable the system to automatically identify the type of incoming call [col.4 lines 25-29]. Hence, the computer in performing the method above would have had a first mode connecting to a server through the Internet [dialup to an ISP]

Art Unit: 2153

and a second mode connecting to a telephone apparatus [FAX] by using the same telephone line.

Page 16

As per claims 15-16, they are rejected under similar rationale as for claim 14 above.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Dinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-9655. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 AM - 4:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday.

attempts to reach the examiner by telephone unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached at (703) 305-4792.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 2100 Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-5631.

# Any response to this action should be mailed to:

BOX AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, DC 20231

## or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications intended for entry)

(703) 746-7240 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA, Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Dung Dinh

Primary Examiner

September 23, 2003